### INSTRUCTIONAL ANALYSIS, SYLLABUS & ONE SEMESTER LESSON PLAN

#### SCIENTIFIC WRITING TECHNIQUES (KIM1391 2(2-0)+LH)

By: Dr. Henny Purwaningsih, SSi, MSi Prof. Suminar S Achmadi, PhD Dr. Budi Arifin, SSi, MSi

## DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND NATURAL SCIENCES IPB UNIVERSITY

2023

# INSTRUCTIONAL ANALYSIS



# ONE SEMESTER LESSON PLAN

Course Name/Code	:	Scientific Writing Techniques / KIM1391
Semester/Credit	:	Even (Semester 6)/2(2-0) + LH
Description	:	The Scientific Writing Techniques Course is a compulsory course for students of the Undergraduate
		Chemistry Study Program, Department of Chemistry, FMIPA IPB which is related to the Indonesian
		courses that have been given in semester 1. The Scientific Writing Engineering course is given to 6th-
		semester students with the subject matter coverage being (1) Spelling, (2) Chemical Nomenclature and
		Grammar, (3) Words, Sentences, and Paragraphs, (4) Research Methods, Literature Review, and How
		to Review Literature, (5) Research Procedures, Drawing Examples, Units and Symbols, (6) Making
		Research Notes, (7) Analysis, Data Interpretation, and Illustration, (8) Preparation of Scientific Journal
		Articles, (9) Oral and Poster Presentation, (10) Literature Search Techniques, (11) Translation
		Techniques, (12) Management of Reference Sources, (13) and Preparation of Student Creativity
		Program Proposals. The learning process of this course uses active learning through lectures in class,
		small group discussions, cooperative learning, collaborative learning, and project-based learning
		(PjBL). The language of instruction used in this lecture is Indonesian.
Prerequisites course	:	IPB1106
Learning Outcomes	:	1. Students are able to communicate concepts and results of field practice/final project (thesis) /
		other scientific papers through oral writing and communication skills, using good and correct
		Indonesian and modern literature search methods to find information about a topic and issue
		related to chemistry
		2. Students are able to produce responsible work through teamwork
Division/Field	:	Chemistry
Lecturers	:	1. Dr. Henny Purwaningsih, SSi, MSi (Coordinator)
		2. Prof. Ir. Suminar S Achmadi, PhD
		3. Dr. Budi Arifin, SSi, MSi

Lear	ming Outcomes								
Lea	arning	A1	A2	<b>B1</b>	B2	<b>B3</b>	<b>B4</b>	C1	C2
Ou	tcomes								
Α.	Knowledge	×□	×□						
В.	Specific			×□	×□				
	skills								
C.	General							×□	X
	attitudes and								
	skills								

#### I. ONE SEMESTER LESSON PLAN

WEEK OF	EXPECTED FINAL CAPABILITY	SUBJECT MATTER (TEACHING MATERIALS)	LEARNING METHODS	ASSESSMENT CRITERIA (INDICATORS)	SCORE WEIGHTI NG (%)
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	Can apply spelling in Indonesian	Spelling Indonesian	Lecture, Class Interactive Discussion	Good and correct application of spelling in Indonesian	
2	Can apply chemical nomenclature and terminology	Chemical Nomenclature and Terminology	Spelling quiz, lectures, interactive discussions	Application of nomenclature and terminology in the correct Indonesian	
3	Can write basic words, derivative words, effective sentences, and complete paragraphs	Words, Sentences, and Paragraphs	Quiz on chemical nomenclature and terminology, lectures, interactive discussions	Write down correct chemical words and terms, effective sentences, and paragraphs	
4	Students evaluate research methods, review literature, review reference literature	Research Methods, Literature Review, and	Quiz on words, sentences, and paragraphs, lectures,	Understand that research is one of the efforts to find the truth, starting with a search of primary	

			• , ,•	1	
		How to Review	interactive	Interature and how to study and	
	~	Literature	discussions	write a literature study	
5	Students can evaluate valid and	Research Procedures,	Quiz on research	Can distinguish standard, valid,	
	repeatable research procedures,	Sampling Drawings,	methods, lectures,	and repeatable procedures and	
	write down units and symbols	Units, and Symbols	interactive	conventions in writing units and	
	based on the International System		discussions	symbols	
6	Able to make research records	Preparing Research	Quiz on research	Can provide a logbook carefully	
	(logbooks) carefully	Notes	procedures, lectures,	based on selected research	
			interactive	procedures	
			discussions		
7	Students can analyze and interpret	Data Analysis and	Presentation of	Can display research data well	
	data and prepare effective	Interpretation, and	research notes,	and effectively, and interpret the	
	illustrations	Illustration	lectures, interactive	data interestingly	
			discussions		
8	Can evaluate scientific journal	Preparation of Scientific	Ouiz on illustrations.	Can prepare journal articles that	
-	articles that describe novelty	Journal Articles	lectures, interactive	meet the rules of accuracy.	
			discussions	conciseness, and clarity	
9	Can present research results	Oral and Poster	Ouiz on scientific	Can present the results of	
-	orally and prepare good and	Presentation	iournal articles	research or field practice with	
	interesting posters		lectures interactive	PowerPoint media and posters	
	interesting posters		discussions	rowerronne meena and posters	
10	Can browse primary un-to-date	Literature Search	Oral presentations	Can apply primary and up-to-	
10	and relevant libraries	Techniques	lectures interactive	date library search techniques	
	and relevant noraries	reeninques	discussions	electronically	
11	Con translate using various	Translation Tachniques	Loctura interactiva	Con translate manuscripts from	
11	language annligations	Translation Techniques	diaguagiona	Independent and vice verse by	
	language applications		discussions	indonesian, and vice versa, by	
				utilizing word processing	
				programs or electronic	
10				applications	
12	Can manage reference sources	Resource Management	Quiz on translation,	Can apply reference manager,	
	using electronic applications		lectures, and	such as Mendeley	
			interactive		
			discussions		

13	Can apply referral management	Preparation of Student	Lectures, interactive	Can compile potentially funded
	programs, such as Mendeley	Creativity Program	discussions	PKM proposals
		(PKM) Proposals		
14	Can present PKM proposals	PKM Proposal	Discussion and	Can present PKM proposals
	orally in an interesting manner	Presentation	improvement of	orally and try to improve the
			proposals	script according to the results of
				the discussion

#### **II. ASSESSMENT DESIGN**

No	Learning Outcomes	ASSIGNMENTS	Project	Assignment	Practical	E	XAM	
	Courses	(Resume/Paper/Presentation/Small Project, others) <sup>3)</sup>	(Project Based Learning, PjBL)	(Problem Based Learning, PBL)		MIDTERM	FINAL	QUIZ
1	Students are able to communicate concepts and results of field practice / final project (thesis) /other scientific papers through oral writing and communication skills, using good and correct Indonesian and modern literature search methods to find information about a topic and issue related to chemistry,	Group projects/assignments working on problems applying mathematics in chemical calculations	V	$\checkmark$				V

2	Students are able to	Group projects/assignments working				
	produce responsible work through teamwork	on problems applying mathematics in chemical calculations	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	 	 $\checkmark$

#### **Details of the Assessment Design:**

Learning outcomes	Individual Quiz	Group tasks
Students can communicate concepts and results of field practice / final project (thesis) / other scientific papers through oral writing and communication skills, using good and correct Indonesian and modern literature search methods to find information about a topic and issue related to chemistry,	<ol> <li>Spelling according to PUEBI</li> <li>Chemical nomenclature and terminology</li> <li>Write effective sentences with correct spelling</li> <li>Interpret experimental data with concrete sentences accompanied by interesting illustrations</li> <li>One paragraph translation for each student</li> </ol>	
Students can produce responsible work through teamwork		<ol> <li>Evaluate theses from other universities and compare them with the screenings in the Department of Chemistry IPB, especially the introduction, literature review, and quality of reference literature</li> <li>Make research notes based on selected experimental procedures</li> <li>Critique articles in international journals and compare them with articles in local journals</li> <li>Present OT or research results orally with the help of PowerPoint</li> <li>Improve the way bibliography is referenced and written with the help of Mendeley</li> <li>Preparation and presentation of PKM proposals</li> </ol>

#### **III. RATING WEIGHTS**

Assessment Criteria	Score Range	Score Weight (%)	Assessment	Description
Participatory Activities				Attendance, creativity, and student activities in class, such as doing quiz/assignments, responses to lecturer questions, etc
Project Results	50-100	50	Group score	<ul> <li>Groups of 3-4 students</li> <li>Project Outcome Target: PKM Proposal</li> <li>Project Details: Student groups can prepare PKM proposals can be selected based on student interests, namely: <ul> <li>PKM Riset Eksakta (PKM-RE)</li> <li>PKM Riset Sosial Humaniora (PKM-RSH)</li> <li>PKM Kewirausahaan (PKM-K)</li> <li>PKM Pengabdian Kepada Masyarakat (PKM-PM)</li> <li>PKM Penerapan Iptek (PKM-PI)</li> <li>PKM Karsa Cipta (PKM-KC)</li> <li>PKM Karya Inovatif (PKM-KI)</li> <li>PKM Video Gagasan Konstruktif (PKM-VGK)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Project Results Assessment Rubric Components <ul> <li>Accuracy in completing project results;</li> <li>Clarity in completing project deliverables;</li> <li>Compatibility of project results with PKM Guidelines</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Theoretical Assessment:				
Midterm				
Final				
Quiz	50-100	25	Individual score	Quiz are conducted every week after lectures. Quiz time 10-15 minutes//week
Structured Tasks	50-100	25 + LH	Group score	<ul><li>Groups of 3-4 students</li><li>Weekly Assignments: presented on Research Design Details</li></ul>

			- Components of the Task Grading Rubric
			• Accuracy in completing tasks;
			• Completeness in completing tasks;
			• Clarity in completing tasks;
			• Compatibility of how to complete the task with the material
			 given in class
Practical/UP Assessment			
The Score of Scientific Writing		100	
Techniques KIM1391			
credits 2 (2-0) + LH			
Assessment Criteria:			
A > 85			
$80 < AB \le 85$			
$75 < B \leq 80$			
$70 < BC \le 75$			
$50 < C \leq 70$			
$40 < D \le 50$			
E < 40			

# Weekly Task Assessment Criteria with instruments: Group Task and Presentation assessment forms

Score Range	Group Discussion Assessment Criteria
>85	If students can provide specific and easy to understand explanations, use methods/tools (body movements,
	analogies and concept maps) in helping the understanding of messages by colleagues and use constructive ways in
	expressing opinions and reasoning. Students can contribute actively, respect the opinions of colleagues, can work
	together and conduct evaluations in groups.
80-84	If students can provide specific and easy to understand explanations, use methods/tools (body movements,
	analogies and concept maps) in helping the understanding of messages by colleagues and use constructive ways in
	expressing opinions and reasoning. Students can contribute actively and value the opinions of colleagues in the
	group.
75-79	If students can provide specific and easy to understand explanations, use ways/tools (body movements, analogies
	and concept maps) to help understand messages by colleagues and use constructive ways in expressing opinions
	and reason. Students can contribute actively.

70-74	If students can provide specific and easy to understand explanations, use ways/tools (body movements, analogies
	and concept maps) to help understand messages by colleagues and use constructive ways in expressing opinions
	and reason.
65-69	If students can provide specific explanations but are less easy to understand, use methods/tools (body movements,
	analogies and concept maps) in helping the understanding of messages by colleagues and use constructive ways in
	expressing opinions and reason.
<65	If students can present material with good systematics, timeliness of delivery, good language use, ability to answer
	questions well / precisely, good and clear material delivery attitude.

#### Weekly Task Assessment Criteria with instruments: PKM Proposal Preparation Assessment Form

Score Range	PKM Proposal Assessment Criteria
75-85	If students are able to compile proposals that show creative, innovative, original, and novelty ideas, and can answer existing
	problems. The proposal is well prepared, easy to read, easy to understand and understand, Using Good and Correct
	Indonesian, according to the PKM proposal template , and using up-to-date libraries (last 10 years)
65-75	If students can compile proposals that show creative, innovative, original, and novelty ideas and can answer existing
	problems. The proposal is well prepared, easy to read, easy to understand and understand, Using Good and Correct
	Indonesian, NOT IN accordance with the PKM proposal template , and using up-to-date libraries (last 10 years)
55-65	If students can compile proposals that show creative, innovative, original, and novelty ideas and are able to answer
	existing problems. The proposal is well compiled, easy to read, easy to understand and understand, Using Good and
	Correct Indonesian, NOT ACCORDING to the PKM proposal template, and using libraries that are NOT up-to-date

#### **Recommended Reading Books Required and Supporting:**

- 1 [IPB]. Pedoman Penulisan Karya Ilmiah. Bogor: IPB Press
- 2 [Kemdikbud] Kementerian Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan. Pedoman Khusus Tata Istilah dan Tata Nama Kimia. Jakarta
- 4 [Kemdikbud] Kementerian Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan. Pedoman Umum Ejaan Bahasa Indonesia yang Disempurnakan. Jakarta
- 5 [Kemdikbud]. Kementerian Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan. Kamus Besar bahasa Indonesia. Ed Ke-5. (luring)
- 6 [Kemdikbud]. Kementerian Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan. Glosarium Istilah (daring)
- 7 Coghill AM, Garson LR. (Ed.). 2006. The CS Style Guide: Effective Communication of Scientific Information. Edisi ke-3. Oxford: American Chemical Society
- 8 Council of Biology Editors. 1994. Scientific Style and Format. Edisi ke-6. Chicago: Cambridge